

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,006	11/09/1999	TAMMY ZHENG	PHA 51219	7398	
75	90 05/27/2003				
Corporate Patent Counsel Philips Electronics North America Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER		
			CHEN, KIN CHAN		
Tarrytown, NY	10591		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1765			
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
_		1						
	Office Action Summary	09/437,006	ZHENG ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Kin-Chan Chen	1765					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh et with th correspond nce address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N	lovember 2002 .						
2a)□		s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims								
1	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,								
11) 🔲 T	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner							
•	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) <u></u> ⊤	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		(4) 5. (1).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been received	d in this National Sta	ge				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			nlication)				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi	isional application has been recei	ived.	plication).				
Attachment(priority under 30 0.3.0. 98 120 a	ang/or 1∠1.					
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-15					
S Patent and Tmd	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) 🔯 Other: .						

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, "the first chemistry further includes the first chemistry" is indefinite. It is unclear as to the scope of the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimbergen et al. (US 6,081,334; hereinafter "Grimbergen ") in view of Witek et al. (US 5,627,395; hereinafter "Witek ").

In a process of forming a semiconductor device, Grimbergen teaches that a least one device layer (e.g., polysilicon) and an anti-reflective coating may be formed over a wafer surface. A hard mask may be provided over a portion of the device (col. 5, lines 51-67, Figs. 1a and 1b). A plasma-etch may be applied using first and second etching

Art Unit: 1765

chemistries and selectively etching into the device layer to form a pillar structure (such as gate electrode) having at least one sidewall. The first chemistry may include HB_r, CI₂, He-O₂. After using the first chemistry, a plasma-etch using a second chemistry may be performed. The halogen content of the etchant gas may be reduced to obtain slower and more controllable etch rates (col. 18, lines 15-30) in order to stop the etching process without etching through the silicon dioxide underlayer on the substrate (col. 18, lines 15-17).

Unlike the claimed invention, Grimbergen does not teach using nitrogen, rather, Grimbergen teaches using Helium (He) in the second etching chemistry. Grimbergen teaches, after using the first chemistry, using a plasma-etch of a second chemistry that the halogen content of the etchant gas may be reduced to obtain slower and more controllable etch rates in order to stop the etching process without etching through the silicon dioxide underlayer on the substrate. In a method of polysilicon etching, Witek teaches that HB, and Cl₂ are generally used and the inert gas such as Ar, He, or introgen may be used. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to use nitrogen of Witek in Grimbergen process because Witek teaches the equivalence between using He and nitrogen in the processes that are similar to those as taught by Grimbergen wherein polysilicon is etched. The substitution of one for the other would have been expected to provide the same function and effect of a non-reactive (inert) gas in the etching process and help provide slower etch rates in the second-stage etching. Furthermore, it is notoriously well known that in the dry etching process, the

Art Unit: 1765

inert gas is used for diluting the etchant and change the etching rate (also see Wang et al. (US 6,232,184) in the record as evidence).

The limitations of dependent claims 4, 9-11, 14, 20, and 21 have been addressed above and rejected for the same reasons, supra.

The instant claims differ from Grimbergen and Witek by specifying various nitrogen amount (percent) in the second chemistry (such as claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 15-18). However, a skilled artisan understands that in a plasma etching, the reactive gas content in the etchant gas may be diluted using inert gas in order to obtain slower and more controllable etch rates. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to use suitable amount of nitrogen in the process of Grimbergen and Witek in order to obtain slower and more controllable etch rates and stop the etching process without etching through the silicon dioxide underlayer on the substrate.

As to dependent claims 7, 13, and 19, Grimbergen teaches that the first chemistry includes a selectivity booster (such as He-O₂), see col. 18, lines 22-23.

The above cited claims differ from the prior art by specifying well-known features (such as SiON hardmask in claim 12) to the art of semiconductor device fabrication. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify Grimbergen and Witek by using any of same well-known features to same in order to provide their art recognized advantages and produce an expected result.

Art Unit: 1765

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wang et al. (US 6,232,184; col. 3, lines 35-38) teaches that the inert gas may be used for diluting the etchant.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0222. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2934.

Kin-Chan Chen

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1765

May 23, 2003

Page 5